

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

MAR - 7 2011

Jerod Powers

Jacksonville, FL 32216

RE: MUR 6345

Dear Mr. Powers:

On February 16, 2011, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated August 6, 2010, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe Chukwuemeka Christian Nwasike and Chris Nwasike for Congress and Mareus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1), a provision of the Foderal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as annealed. Also on this date, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion and dismissed the potential violations of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii) with respect to Joseph "Jay" Fields, Marianne "Jorgine" Fields, and Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc. Additionally, the Commission dismissed, on the basis of prosecutorial discretion, the allegation that Chukwuemeka Christian Nwaskie violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii), and that Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) and 441f.

On March 2, 2011, the Commission found there was no reason to believe that Joseph "Jay" Fields, Marianne "Jorgine" Fields, and Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1). Accordingly, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analyses, which more fully explain the Commission's findings, are enclosed. A Statement of Reasons providing a basis for the Commission's decision will follow.

Jerod Powers MUR 6345 Page 2

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

P. Christopher Hughey Acting General Chunse

BY: Mark D. Shonkwiler

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

1			
2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
3 4	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
5			
6 7		MUR 6345	
8 9	RESPONDENTS:	Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer	
10 11 12		Chukwuemeka Christian Nwasike	
13 14 15	I. <u>INTRODUCTIO</u>	<u>N</u>	
16	This matter was g	enerated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election	
17	Commission by Jerod Pov	wers. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1). This matter involves	
18	allegations that Florida 3 ^r	d Congressional district candidate Chukwuemeka Christian	
19	Nwasike ^I and his principa	al campaign committee, Chris Nwasike for Congress and	
20	Marcus Brooks, in his off	icial capacity as treasurer, ("Nwasike Committee"), violated the	
21	Federal Election Campaig	n Act of 1971, as amended. Mr. Nwasike allegedly used his	
22	position as an organizer o	f a rally called Keep God in America ("KGIA") to instruct	
23	Joseph "Jay" Fields, the tr	reasurer for the rally, to use funds from Biblical Concepts	
24	Ministries, Inc. ("BCM"),	a nonprofit corporation involved with the rally, to make a	
25	\$2,000 payment to Mr. Fi	elds' wife (and rally co-coordinator) Marianne "Jorgine" Fields,	
26	and that the proceeds were	e used to make a contribution to the Nwasike Committee later	
27	that same day. While Mr.	Nwasike and the Fields contend the \$2,000 payment was	
28	legitimate compensation f	or services in connection with the rally, BCM contends that the	
29	payment was unauthorized	d and that its corporate funds were improperly funneled to	
30	Nwasike's political campa	uign.	

¹ The complainant incorrectly spells Mr. Nwasike's last name as "Enwasike."

MUR 6345 (Nwasike) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 2 of 5

1 The complaint, which is very short and inartfully drafted, alleges that Mr. Nwasike and the Nwasike Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1), which prohibits 2 3 political party committees from soliciting funds or directing donations to certain Section 4 501(c) non-profit organizations. However, the alleged facts can also be read to assert that 5 the \$2,000 contribution was a corporate contribution made in the name of another, in violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f. 6 7 With respect to the alleged violation of section 441i(d)(1), the Commission found 8 no reason to believe that Chukwuemeka Christian Nwasike and Chris Nwasike for 9 Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. 10 § 441i(d)(1). The basis for the no reason to believe finding is set forth below. 11 The Commission was evenly divided as to whether Chukwuemeka Christian 12 Nwasike, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii); and as to 13 whether Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as 14 treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b and 441f. The Commission voted 5-1 to exercise its 15 prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985), and 16 dismiss allegations that Chukwuemeka Christian Nwasike violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f. 441b(a), and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii); and that Chris Nwasike for Congress and 17 18 Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b and 441f. 19 The Commission will issue a separate Statement of Reasons setting forth the basis for the 20 dismissal of these allegations. 21 II. **FACTUAL SUMMARY** Mr. Nwasike was a candidate seeking to be elected to represent Florida's 3rd 22

Congressional District during the 2010 election cycle. His principal campaign committee

MUR 6345 (Nwasike) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 3 of 5

was Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer 1 2 ("Nwasike Committee"). At the time of the filing of the Nwasike Committee's Statement 3 of Organization on May 17, 2010, its treasurer was Jay Fields who also served as 4 treasurer for the KGIA rally. Mr. Nwasike, BCM President Robert Johnson, and the 5 complainant, Jerod Powers, appear to have been the principal organizers of a March 26, 6 2010, Keep God In America ("KGIA") rally held in Jacksonville, Florida. BCM, a non-7 profit corporation registered with the state of Florida, was the host of the KGIA rally. Jay 8 and Jorgine Fields were self-employed individuals who were assistant coordinators for 9 the KGIA event. 10 On April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Nwasike submitted his Statement of 11 Candidacy, Mr. Nwasike instructed Jay Fields, acting treasurer for the KGIA rally, to 12 write a \$2,000 check payable to his wife on a BCM corporate bank account. The BCM 13 check signed by Mr. Fields includes the notation that the payment was for "service 14 rendered." The Nwasike Committee reported receiving a \$2,000 contribution from Mr. 15 Fields on April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Fields wrote the BCM check payable to 16 his wife. See Complaint, last page of attachments. The Nwasike Committee's response 17 similarly indicates that it received the contribution check from Mr. Fields. Nwasike 18 Committee Response at 2, 3. For reasons that are unclear, however, the complaint asserts 19 that the contribution to the Nwasike Committee was from Mrs. Fields (the payee on the 20 BCM check). While the Fields' responses do not dispute the complaint's contention, and 21 Mrs. Fields seems to indicate that she made the contribution, the Fields do not 22 specifically address which of them wrote the actual contribution check, and we do not

have a copy of that check. See Responses. In any event, the Nwasike Committee

MUR 6345 (Nwasike) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 4 of 5

1 refunded the contribution to Mr. Fields on June 30, 2010, and Mr. Fields was the 2 Committee treasurer at the time. See Nwasike Committee July 2010 Quarterly Report. 3 The Nwasike Committee claims that Mr. Nwasike was a BCM officer at the time, 4 and it asserts that the payment was duly authorized by BCM officers, although the 5 Committee does not identify these officers. See Nwasike Committee Response. The 6 Nwasike Committee argues that there is no evidence to support the allegation that it 7 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1) or that it and the other respondents agreed or conspired to 8 undertake "any activity in violation of Federal election law." The Committee further 9 contends that the \$2,000 contribution check to the Committee was drawn on the personal 10 account of Mr. Fields, a natural person and United States citizen, and was not excessive, 11 and thus was a legal contribution. The Nwasike Committee also alleges that the 12 complaint is based on groundless speculation and innuendo. Finally, Mr. Nwasike and the Nwasike Committee ask that the Commission consider the small amount involved as 13 14 the basis for dismissing this matter as a matter of prosecutorial discretion. 15 BCM denies that Mr. Nwasike and Mr. Fields had the authority to pay \$2,000 to 16 Mrs. Fields. BCM President Johnson states that Mr. Nwasike influenced Mr. Fields, the 17 treasurer for the KGIA rally, to help him funnel funds from the non-profit ministry to his political campaign without Johnson's knowledge. The Fields' responses indicate that 18 19 Mrs. Fields accepted the BCM check in order to make a contribution to the Nwasike Committee. See Responses. Mrs. Fields states that after the March 26th rally, she and her 20 21 husband had consistently refused offers of payment for their expenses and services, but she eventually took the pay authorized by Mr. Nwasike in order to contribute the funds to 22

Nwasike's campaign. See Jorgine Fields response. In addition, Mrs. Fields states that

MUR 6345 (Nwasike) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 5 of 5

- 1 Mr. Nwasike returned the money to her, and she returned the money to KGIA. Id.
- 2 According to Mr. Fields, Mr. Nwasike wanted the Fields to have this money since they
- .3 had endured some financial hardships, so he instructed Mr. Fields to write a check to his
- 4 wife to "compensate us for our losses." Id.
- 5 Further, Mrs. Fields' second response states that "We did not handle the donation
- 6 to Chris Nwasike right, whether legal or illegal. We have been trusting that returning that
- 7 money took care of everything, and still are." See Jorgine Fields Supplemental Response.
- 8 Mrs. Fields also states, "I am older [than Nwasike], and need to be responsible to show
- 9 him the way and hold our actions accountable." Id.

10 III. <u>LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

- Section 441i(d)(1) prohibits certain types of activity by political party committees
- 12 and is inapplicable to the facts in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission found no
- 13 reason to believe that Chukwuemeka Christian Nwasike and Chris Nwasike for Congress
- and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1).
- The Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v.
- 16 Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1995), and dismissed allegations that (1) Chukwuemeka
- 17 Christian Nwasike assisted in the making of a corporate contribution in the name of
- 18 another in violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1(iii);
- 19 (2) Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his capacity as treasurer,
- 20 knowingly accepted a corporate contribution made in the name of another in violation of
- 21 2 U.S.C. § 441f and 441b. The Commission will issue a separate Statement of Reasons
- setting forth the basis for the dismissal of these allegations.

2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
3			
4 5	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
6	MUR 6345		
7 8 9	RESPONDENT: Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc.		
10 11 12	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
13	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election		
14	Commission by Jerod Powers. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1). This matter involves		
15	allegations that various Respondents violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of		
16	1971, as amended ("Act") in connection with the transfer of \$2,000 from Biblical		
17	Concepts Ministries, Inc. ("BCM") to Marianne "Jorgine" Fields, and that the proceeds		
18	were used to make a contribution to Florida 3 rd Congressional district candidate		
19	Chukwuemeka Christian Nwasike ¹ and his principal campaign committee, Chris Nwasike		
20	for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer, ("Nwasike		
21	Committee").		
22	The complaint, which is very short and inartfully drafted, alleges that Mr.		
23	Nwasike and the Nwasike Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1), which prohibits		
24	political party committees from soliciting funds or directing donations to certain Section		
25	501(c) non-profit organizations. However, the alleged facts can also be read to assert that		
26	the \$2,000 contribution was a corporate contribution made in the name of another, in		
27	violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f.		

The complainant incorrectly spells Mr. Nwasike's last name as "Enwasike."

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis
for Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc.
Page 2 of 5

1 The Commission found no reason to believe that Biblical Concepts Ministries, 2 Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1). The Commission exercised its prosecutorial 3 discretion, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985), and dismissed 4 potential violations under 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f, 441b(a), and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii) by 5 Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc. The Commission will issue a separate Statement of 6 Reasons setting forth the hasis for the dismissal of these potential violations. 7 II. FACTUAL SUMMARY 8 Mr. Nwasike was a candidate seeking to be elected to represent Florida's 3rd 9 Congressional District during the 2010 election cycle. His principal campaign committee 10 was Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer 11 ("Nwasike Committee"). Mr. Nwasike, BCM President Robert Johnson, and the 12 complainant, Jerod Powers, appear to have been the principal organizers of a March 26, 13 2010, Keep God In America ("KGIA") rally held in Jacksonville, Florida, BCM, a non-14 profit corporation registered with the state of Florida, was the host of the KGIA rally. Jay 15 and Jorgine Fields were self-employed individuals who were assistant coordinators for 16 the KGIA every. At the time of the filing of the Nwasike Committee's Statement of 17 Organization on May 17, 2010, its treasurer was Jay Fields, who also served as treasurer 18 for the KGIA rally. 19 On April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Nwasike submitted his Statement of 20 Candidacy, Mr. Nwasike instructed Jay Fields, acting treasurer for the KGIA rally, to 21 write a \$2,000 check payable to his wife on a BCM corporate bank account. The BCM

check signed by Mr. Fields includes the notation that the payment was for "service

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MUR 6345 (Nwasike) Factual & Legal Analysis for Biblical Concepts Ministrles, Inc. Page 3 of 5

1 rendered." The Nwasike Committee claims that Mr. Nwasike was a BCM officer at the

time, and it asserts that the payment was duly authorized by BCM officers, although the 2

3 Committee does not identify these officers. See Nwasike Committee Response.

political campaign without Johnson's knowledge.

4 BCM denies that Mr. Nwasike and Mr. Fields had the authority to pay \$2,000 to Mrs. Fields. BCM President Johnson states that Mr. Nwasike influenced Mr. Fields, the 5 6 treasurer for the KGIA rally to help bion funnel funds from the non-profit ministry to his 7

The Fields' responses indicate that Mrs. Fields accepted the BCM check in order to make a contribution to the Nwasike Committee. See Responses. Mrs. Fields states that after the March 26th rally, she and her husband had consistently refused offers of payment for their expenses and services, but she eventually took the pay authorized by Mr. Nwasike in order to contribute the funds to Nwasike's campaign. See Jorgine Fields response. In addition, Mrs. Fields states that Mr. Nwasike returned the money to her, and she returned the money to KGIA. Id. According to Mr. Fields, Mr. Nwasike wanted the Fields to have this money since they had endured some financial hardships, so he instructed Mr. Fields to write a check to his wife to "compensate us for our losses." Id. Further, Mrs. Fields' second response states that "We did not handle the donation to Chris Nwasike right, whether legal or illegal. We have been trusting that returning that money took care of everything, and still are." See Jorgine Fields Supplemental Response.

Mrs. Fields also states, "I am older [than Nwasike], and need to be responsible to show

21 him the way and hold our actions accountable." Id. MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis
for Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc.
Page 4 of 5

1 The Nwasike Committee reported receiving a \$2,000 contribution from Mr. Fields 2 on April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Fields wrote the BCM check payable to his 3 wife. See Complaint, last page of attachments. The Nwasike Committee's response 4 similarly indicates that it received the contribution check from Mr. Fields. Nwasike 5 Committee Response at 2, 3. For reasons that are unclear, however, the complaint asserts 6 that the contribution to the Nwasike Committee was from Mrs. Fields (the payee on the 7 BCM check). While the Fields' responses do not dispute the complaint's contention, and 8 Mrs. Fields seems to indicate that she made the contribution, the Fields do not 9 specifically address which of them wrote the actual contribution check, and we do not 10 have a copy of that check. See Responses. In any event, the Nwasike Committee 11 refunded the contribution to Mr. Fields on June 30, 2010, and Mr. Fields was the Committee treasurer at the time. See Nwasike Committee July 2010 Quarterly Report. 12 13 The Nwasike Committee argues that there is no evidence to support the allegation 14 that it violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1) or that it and the other respondents agreed or 15 conspired to undertake "any activity in violation of Federal election law." 'The 16 Committee further contends that the \$2,000 contribution check to the Committee was 17 drawn on the personal account of Mr. Fields, a natural person and United States citizen, 18 and was not excessive, and thus was a legal contribution. BCM denies that Mr. Nwasike 19 and Mr. Fields had the authority to pay \$2,000 to Mrs. Fields. BCM President Johnson 20 states that Mr. Nwasike influenced Mr. Fields, the treasurer for the KGIA rally to help him funnel funds from the non-profit ministry to his political campaign without 21 22 Johnson's knowledge.

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis
for Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc.
Page 5 of 5

1 III. <u>LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

2	Section 441i(d)(1) prohibits certain types of activity by political party committees
3	and is inapplicable to the facts in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission found no
4	reason to believe that Biblical Concepts Ministries, Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1).
5	The Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v.
6	Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985), and dismissed the potential violations under 2 U.S.C.
7	§§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii) with respect to Biblical Concepts
8	Ministries, Inc. The Commission will issue a separate Statement of Reasons setting forth
9	the basis for the dismissal of these potential violations.

2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	
3		
4 5	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
6	MUR 6345	
7 8 9	RESPONDENTS: Joseph "Jay" Fields	
10	Marianne "Jorgine" Fields	
11 12 13	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
14	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election	
15	Commission by Jerod Powers. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1). This matter involves	
16	allegations that various Respondents violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of	
17	1971, as amended ("Act") in connection with the transfer of \$2,000 by Joseph "Jay"	
18	Fields, treasurer of the Keep God In America rally, from the account of Biblical Concept	
19	Ministries, Inc. ("BCM"), to his wife, Marianne "Jorgine" Fields (and rally co-	
20	coordinator). The proceeds were used to make a contribution to the Nwasike Committee	
21	later that same day. The Nwasike Committee claims that Mr. Nwasike was a BCM	
22	officer at the time, and it asserts that the payment was duly authorized by BCM officers,	
23	although the Committee does not identify these officers.	
24	The complaint, which is very short and inartfully drafted, alleges that Mr.	
25	Nwasike and the Nwasike Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1), which prohibits	
26	political party committees from soliciting funds or directing donations to certain Section	
27	501(c) non-profit organizations. However, the alleged facts can also be read to assert that	
28	the \$2,000 contribution was a corporate contribution made in the name of another, in	
29	violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f.	

19

20

21

22

for the KGIA rally.

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 2 of 5

- Page 2 of 5 1 The Commission found no reason to believe that Joseph "Jay" Fields and 2 Marianne "Jorgine" Fields violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1). The Commission exercised its 3 prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985), and 4 dismissed potential violations under 2 U.S.C. §§ 44Ib(a), 44If, and 11 C.F.R. 5 § 110.4(b)(1)(iii) as to Joseph "Jay" Fields and Marianne "Jorgine" Fields. The 6 Commission will issue a separate Statement of Reasons setting forth the basis for the 7 dismissal of these potential violations. 8 II. FACTUAL SUMMARY Mr. Nwasike was a candidate seeking to be elected to represent Florida's 3rd 9 10 Congressional District during the 2010 election cycle. His principal campaign committee 11 was Chris Nwasike for Congress and Marcus Brooks, in his official capacity as treasurer 12 ("Nwasike Committee"). Mr. Nwasike, BCM President Robert Johnson, and the 13 complainant, Jerod Powers, appear to have been the principal organizers of a March 26, 14 2010, Keep God In America ("KGIA") rally held in Jacksonville, Florida. BCM, a non-15 profit corporation registered with the state of Florida, was the host of the KGIA rally. Jay and Jorgine Fields were self-employed individuals who were assistant coordinators for 16 17 the KGIA event. At the time of the filing of the Nwasike Committee's Statement of
 - On April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Nwasike submitted his Statement of Candidacy, Mr. Nwasike instructed Jay Fields, acting treasurer for the KGIA rally, to write a \$2,000 check payable to his wife on a BCM corporate bank account. The BCM

Organization on May 17, 2010, its treasurer was Jay Fields, who also served as treasurer

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 3 of 5

1 check signed by Mr. Fields includes the notation that the payment was for "service 2 rendered." The Nwasike Committee reported receiving a \$2,000 contribution from Mr. 3 Fields on April 28, 2010, the same day that Mr. Fields wrote the BCM check payable to 4 his wife. See Complaint, last page of attachments. The Nwasike Committee's response 5 similarly indicates that it received the contribution check from Mr. Fields. Nwasike 6 Committee Response at 2, 3. For reasons that are unclear, however, the complaint asserts 7 that the contribution to the Nwasike Committee was from Mrs. Fields (the payee on the 8 BCM check). While the Fields' responses do not dispute the complaint's contention, and 9 Mrs. Fields seems to indicate that she made the contribution, the Fields do not 10 specifically address which of them wrote the actual contribution check, and we do not 11 have a copy of that check. See Responses. However, Mrs. Fields states Mr. Nwasike 12 returned the money to her, and she returned the money to KGIA. See Jorgine Fields 13 Response. In any event, the Nwasike Committee refunded the contribution to Mr. Fields 14 on June 30, 2010, and Mr. Fields was the Committee treasurer at the time. See Nwasike 15 Committee July 2010 Quarterly Report. 16 The Nwasike Committee claims that Mr. Nwasike was a BCM efficer at the time, 17 and it asserts that the payment was duly authorized by BCM officers, although the 18 Committee does not identify these officers. The Nwasike Committee argues that there is 19 no evidence to support the allegation that it violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1) or that it and 20 the other respondents agreed or conspired to undertake "any activity in violation of 21 Federal election law." The Committee further contends that the \$2,000 contribution

check to the Committee was drawn on the personal account of Mr. Fields, a natural

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 4 of 5

person and United States citizen, and was not excessive, and thus was a legal 1 2 contribution. 3 BCM denies that Mr. Nwasike and Mr. Fields had the authority to pay \$2,000 to 4 Mrs. Fields. BCM President Johnson states that Mr. Nwasike influenced Mr. Fields, the 5 treasurer for the KGIA rally to help him funnel funds from the non-profit ministry to his 6 political campaign without Johnson's knowledge. 7 The Fields' responses further indicate that Mrs. Fields accepted the BCM check in 8 order to make a contribution to the Nwasike Committee. See Responses. Mrs. Fields 9 states that after the March 26th rally, she and her husband had consistently refused offers 10 of payment for their expenses and services, but she eventually took the pay authorized by 11 Mr. Nwasike in order to contribute the funds to Nwasike's campaign. Id. According to 12 Mr. Fields, Mr. Nwasike wanted the Fields to have this money since they had endured 13 some financial hardships, so he instructed Mr. Fields to write a check to his wife to 14 "compensate us for our losses." Id. 15 Further, Mrs. Fields' second response states that "We did not handle the donation 16 to Chris Nwasike right, whether land or illegal. We have been trusting that returning that 17 money took care of everything, and still are." See Jorgine Fields Supplemental Response.

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

him the way and hold our actions accountable." Id.

18

19

20

Section 441i(d)(1) prohibits certain types of activity by political party committees and is inapplicable to the facts in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission found no

Mrs. Fields also states, "I am older [than Nwasike], and need to be responsible to show

MUR 6345 (Nwasike)
Factual & Legal Analysis for
Jay and Jorgine Fields
Page 5 of 5

- reason to believe that Joseph "Jay" Fields and Marianne "Jorgine" Fields violated
- 2 2 U.S.C. § 441i(d)(1).
- The Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion, pursuant to *Heckler v*.
- 4 Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1995), and dismissed potential violations under 2 U.S.C.
- 5 §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii) with respect to Joseph "Jay" Fields and
- 6 Marianne "Jurgine" Fields. The Commission will issue a separate Statement of Reason
- 7 setting forth the basis for the dismissal of these potential violations.

8